

# Making peripheral lines a central focus: a clinical evidence summary.

### Contents



#### Introduction

#### Literature review

Short-term peripheral venous catheter-related bloodstream infections: A systematic review. Mermel L. <i>Clin Infect Dis.</i> 2017;65(10):1757–1762. doi:10.1093/cid/cix56.2	() ∅ () ⊛	5
Accepted but unacceptable: Peripheral IV catheter failure. Helm RE, Klausner JD, Klemperer JD, Flint I.M. Huang F. J. Infus Nurs, 2015;38(3):189–203.		6
Joi:10.1097/NAN.000000000000000000		
Prospective study		
Reaching one peripheral intravenous catheter (PIVC) per patient visit with lean multimodal strategy: the PIV5Rights <sup>™</sup> bundle.	(\$)(!)()	7
steere L, Ficara C, Davis M, Moureau N. J Assoc Vosc Access. 2019;24(3):31–43. doi:10.2309/j.java.2019.003.004.		
Retrospective study		
Hospital-acquired <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> primary bloodstream infection: a comparison of event that do or do not meet the central line-associated bloodstream infection definition. Kovacs C, Fatica C, Butler R, <i>et al. Am J Infect Control.</i> 2016;44(11):1252–1255. doi:10.1016/j.ajic.2016.03.038.	ents	8
Prospective cohort		
Mortality risk factors among non-ICU patients with nosocomial vascular		
Saliba P, Hornero A, Cuervo G, et al. J Hosp Infect. 2018;99(1):48-54. doi:10.1016/j.jhin.2017.11.002.		9

Comparison of routine replacement with clinically indicated replacement of peripheral intravenous catheters.

Buetti N, Abbas M, Pittet D, et al. JAMA Intern Med. 2021;181(11):1471–1478. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2021.5345.



# Magnifying the view on PIVCs.

Peripheral intravenous catheters (PIVCs, PVCs and PIVs) are some of the most frequently used vascular access devices in health care settings, with 60%–90% of hospitalised patients requiring an IV during a stay.<sup>1</sup> However, while placing a PIVC is one of the most common invasive medical procedures performed worldwide,<sup>1</sup> it can lead to complications, patient anxiety and dissatisfaction, as well as nurse anxiety.

Many studies point to why PIVCs should be at the center – not the periphery – of initiatives to prevent catheter-related bloodstream infections (CRBSI), reduce clinical cost and improve patient outcomes.

This clinical evidence summary demonstrates the importance of making PIVCs a central focus to reduce complications, while outlining methods that may help improve PIVC practice.

# PIVCs are often considered a low-risk procedure; however:

A literature review found short-term PIVCs accounted for

22%

of hospital-acquired CRBSI.<sup>2</sup>



Well-trained professionals see high PIVC failure rates of

36% to 63%

(mean failure rate of 46%).<sup>1</sup>



A non-ICU study found PIVCs accounted for

**41%**<sup>\*</sup> of CRBSIs, with a mortality rate of

**12.7%.**<sup>3</sup>



One observational study found **clinically indicated replacement of PIVCs was associated with higher rates of PIVC-BSI when compared to routine** (individual research result (IRR), 7.20; 95% confidence interval (CI), 3.65–14.22; p<.001).<sup>4</sup>



### Short-term peripheral venous catheter-related bloodstream infections: a systematic review.

Mermel L. Short-term peripheral venous catheter-related bloodstream infections: a systematic review. Clin Infect Dis. 2017;65(10):1757–1762. doi:10.1093/cid/cix562.



### Accepted but unacceptable: peripheral IV catheter failure.





Incidence rate is a measure of the probability of occurrence of a given event within a population for a specified period of time. PIVC failure had been much less accepted since 2015, but had yet not seen significant improvement.<sup>5</sup>

A 2019 paper acknowledged that

be discarded."

# Reaching one peripheral intravenous catheter (PIVC) per patient visit with lean multimodal strategy: the PIV5Rights<sup>™</sup> bundle.

Steere L, Ficara C, Davis M, Moureau N. Reaching one peripheral intravenous catheter (PIVC) per patient visit with lean multimodal strategy: the PIV5Rights<sup>™</sup> bundle. J Assoc Vasc Access. 2019;24(3):31–43. doi:10.2309/j.java.2019.003.004.



# Hospital-acquired *Staphylococcus aureus* primary bloodstream infection: a comparison of events that do or do not meet the central line-associated bloodstream infection definition.

Kovacs C, Fatica C, Butler R, Gordon SM, Fraser TG. Hospital-acquired *Staphylococcus aureus* primary bloodstream infection: a comparison of events that do or do not meet the central line-associated bloodstream infection definition. *Am J Infect Control.* 2016;44(11):1252–1255. doi:10.1016/j.ajic.2016.03.038.



15.9

20%

40%

60%

0%

<sup>+</sup>Outcome of 0% CLABSI value. \*Denotes significance at the 0.05 level.

Complicated bacteremia<sup>+</sup>

### Mortality risk factors among non-ICU patients with nosocomial vascular catheter-related bloodstream infections: a prospective cohort study.

Saliba P, Hornero A, Cuervo G, et al. Mortality risk factors among non-ICU patients with nosocomial vascular catheter-related bloodstream infections: a prospective cohort study. J Hosp Infect. 2018;99(1):48–54. doi:10.1016/j.jhin.2017.11.002.



Charlson

score  $\geq 4$ 

≥65

Age ≥ 65 years

Admission to medical wards

Note: 'Charlson Score' is a scale for measuring comorbidities.

- S. aureus infection
- Candida infection

high mortality risk."

**CRBSI** rate: 0.23/1,000 patient days.

# Comparison of routine replacement with clinically indicated replacement of peripheral intravenous catheters.

Buetti N, Abbas M, Pittet D, et al. Comparison of routine replacement with clinically indicated replacement of peripheral intravenous catheters. JAMA Intern Med. 2021;181(11):1471–1478. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2021.5345.

#### Topics

- Clinically indicated PIVCs
- Routine replacement PIVCs
- PIVC-BSI

#### Design

Single center, 10-site, observational cohort study (2008 beds).

#### Method

- Routine Replacement (every 96 hours): Jan. 1, 2016 – Mar. 31, 2018
- Clinically Indicated Replacement: Apr. 1, 2018 – Oct. 15, 2018
- Return to Routine Replacement: Oct. 16, 2018 – Oct. 16, 2019
- Overall n=412,631 PIVCs, 164,331 total patients
- n=241,432 baseline PIVCs (11 PIVC-BSI)
- n=130,779 intervention PIVCs (46 PIVC-BSIN=40,420 reversion PIVCs)
- Average PIVC dwell time increased during intervention period

#### Results

#### Monthly incidence of peripheral venous catheter (PIVC)-associated bloodstream infections (BSIs) during the three study periods



PIVC dwell time	Baseline	Intervention	Reversion	
> 4 days	26,372 (10.9%)	26,656 (20.4%)	5170 (12.8%)	
>7 days	5745 (2.4%)	10,656 (8.1%)	947 (2.3%)	

Insertion site	Baseline	Intervention	Reversion	p-value	
Forearm	130,877 (54.2)	50,584 (38.7)	15,276 (37.8)		
Arm	6930 (2.9)	2105 (1.6)	675 (1.7)	<.001	
Elbow	12,247 (5.1)	21,508 (16.4)	7530 (18.6)		
Hand	69,615 (28.8)	30,930 (23.7)	9141 (22.6)		
Other	6018 (2.5)	2636 (2.0)	771 (1.9)		
Wrist	15,745 (6.5)	23,016 (17.6)	7027 (17.4)		
Operator					
Out-of-hospital	18,909 (7.8)	10,573 (8.1)	2786 (6.9)	<.001	
In-hospital	222,523 (92.2)	120,206 (91.9)	37,634 (93.1)		
PIVC-BSI	11 (<0.1)	46 (<0.1)	4 (<0.1)	<.001	

### Clinically indicated replacement: **0.9 BSI per 10,000 cd.**

Routine replacement: **0.13 per 10,000 cd.** 

Routine group: 15 microbes identified (60% coag-negative *Staph*).

Intervention group: 46 microbes identified (21.7% *S. aureus*).

Clinically indicated replacement associated with higher rates of PIVC-BSI when compared to routine (IRR, 7.20; 95% Cl, 3.65-14.22; p <.001).

#### **PIVC-BSI:** Defined per European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control.

### References

- 1 Helm RE, Klausner JD, Klemperer JD, Flint LM, Huang E. Accepted but unacceptable: peripheral IV catheter failure. *J Infus Nurs.* 2015;38(3):189–203. doi:10.1097/NAN.000000000000000000.
- 2 Mermel L. Short-term peripheral venous catheter-related bloodstream infections: a systematic review. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2017;65(10):1757–1762. doi:10.1093/cid/cix562.
- 3 Saliba P, Hornero A, Cuervo G, *et al.* Mortality risk factors among non-ICU patients with nosocomial vascular catheter-related bloodstream infections: a prospective cohort study. *J Hosp Infect.* 2018;99(1):48–54. doi:10.1016/j.jhin.2017.11.002.
- 4. Buetti N, Abbas M, Pittet D, et al. Comparison of routine replacement with clinically indicated replacement of peripheral intravenous catheters. JAMA Intern Med. 2021;181(11):1471–1478. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2021.5345.
- 5. Helm RE. Accepted but unacceptable: peripheral IV catheter failure: 2019 Follow-up. *J Infus Nurs*. 2019;42(3):149–150. doi:10.1097/NAN.0000000000324.

### **3M**

**3M United Kingdom PLC** KCI Medical Limited Charnwood Campus 10 Bakewell Road Loughborough LE11 5RB

#### **3M Healthcare EMEA** Export Limited

Export Limited 2 Cumberland Place Fenian Street, Dublin 2 D02 H0V5 Ireland

© 3M 2023. All rights reserved. 3M and the other marks shown are marks and/or registered marks. Unauthorised use prohibited. All other marks are property of their respective owners. OMG937671.